

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.weylo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/698,854	10/31/2003	W. Donald Matthews	100704-010106	9330
32361 7590 01/12/2009 GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP			EXAMINER	
MET LIFE BUILDING MOSSER, KATHLEEN M. 200 PARK AVENUE			MOSSER, KATHLEEN MICHELE	
			PAPER NUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			3715	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/12/2009	ELECTRONIC .

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

SchindlerB@gtlaw.com LucasCh@gtlaw.com NYIPmail@gtlaw.com

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
10/698,854	MATTHEWS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kathleen Mosser	3715		

	Kathleen Mosser	3715	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Estensions of imm may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Fabric to reply within the set or standard period for reply as got provided in the state of	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this o D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	– action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-116 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-116 are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accept the Applicant any objection to the orange and the Applicant and specifical including the correct that the Applicant of the Applicant	epted or b) objected to by the I drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	a 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 C	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	

1)	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	
2)	Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	
21	Information Blook ourse Ctatums at (a) (FTS/CE (rev)	

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SE/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) 🗌	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
5)	Notice of Informal Patent Applic
6)	Other:

Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20081219

Application/Control Number: 10/698,854

Art Unit: 3715

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-10, and 34-101, drawn to methods for providing help support to a user, classified in class 379, subclass 265.12.
- Claims 11-12 and 103-116, drawn to method for providing help support experience to students in an educational environment, classified in class 434, subclass 219.
- Claims 13-33, drawn to a kit including a product and support bundles, classified in class
 705. subclass 1.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are directed to related methods. The related inventions are distinct if: (1) the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect; (2) the inventions do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; and (3) the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the inventions as claimed have materially different designs and modes of operation. The methods of Invention I are drawn to provide customer support to a user, whereas the methods of invention II are designed to provide students with help support experience in an educational environment, include the need for supervision. Furthermore, the inventions as claimed do not encompass overlapping subject matter and there is nothing of record to show them to be obvious variants.

Inventions I and II are related to Invention III related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the methods do not require the specific kit in the combinations as claimed, nor does the kit require the specific methodologies of providing help support to a user.

Application/Control Number: 10/698,854

Art Unit: 3715

Should applicant elect Group I, the invention includes claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

Species A – features directed to the matching of a help requester with a help provider, such as user profiles, help request histories, etc.

Species B – specific methods of providing the help to the user, such a communication link specifics, two way communication, etc.

Species C - sale of a product being required in order for support to be provided.

. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 34 and 35 are generic.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out

Application/Control Number: 10/698,854

Art Unit: 3715

supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse. Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely. Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 CFR 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141.

The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder. All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process

Art Unit: 3715

claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to

do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by

the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Kathleen Mosser whose telephone number is (571) 272-4435. The examiner can normally

be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Xuan Thai can be reached on (571) 272-7147. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

 $\hbox{either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through} \\$

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) $\,$

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/Kathleen Mosser/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3715

January 4th, 2008